#### **Topic 9: Sampling Distributions of Estimators**

#### Rohini Somanathan

Course 003, 2018

# Sampling distributions of estimators

Since our estimators are statistics (particular functions of random variables), their distribution can be derived from the joint distribution of  $X_1...X_n$ . It is called the sampling distribution because it is based on the joint distribution of the random sample.

Given a sampling distribution, we can

- make appropriate trade-offs between sample size and precision of our estimator since sampling distributions on sample size.
- obtain interval estimates rather than point estimates after we have a sample- an interval estimate is a random interval such that the true parameter lies within this interval with a given probability (say 95%).
- choose between to estimators- we can, for instance, calculate the mean-squared error of the estimator,  $E_{\theta}[(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2]$  using the distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$ .

# Application: sample size and precision

#### **Examples:**

1. What if  $X_i \sim N(\theta, 4)$ , and we want  $E(\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2 \leq .1$ ? This is simply the variance of  $\bar{X}_n$ , and we know  $\bar{X}_n \sim N(\theta, 4/n)$ .

$$\frac{4}{n} \leq .1 \text{ if } n \geq 40$$

2. Consider a random sample of size n from a Uniform distribution on  $[0, \theta]$ , and the statistic  $U = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . The CDF of U is given by:

$$F(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \leq 0\\ \left(\frac{u}{\theta}\right)^n & \text{if } 0 < u < \theta\\ 1 & \text{if } u \geq \theta \end{cases}$$

We can now use this to see how large our sample must be if we want a certain level of precision in our estimate for  $\theta$ . Suppose we want the probability that our estimate lies within .1 $\theta$  for any level of  $\theta$  to be bigger than 0.95:

$$\Pr(|\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{\theta}| \leq .1\mathbf{\theta}) = \Pr(\mathbf{\theta}-\mathbf{U} \leq .1\mathbf{\theta}) = \Pr(\mathbf{U} \geq .9\mathbf{\theta}) = 1 - F(.9\mathbf{\theta}) = 1 - 0.9^{n}$$

We want this to be bigger than 0.95, or  $0.9^n \leq 0.05$ . With the LHS decreasing in n, we choose  $n \geq \frac{\log(.05)}{\log(.9)} = 28.43$ . Our minimum sample size is therefore 29.

## Joint distribution of sample mean and sample variance

For a random sample from a normal distribution, we know that the M.L.E.s are the sample mean and the sample variance  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ . We know that

 $\bar{X}_n \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma})^2 \sim \chi_n^2$  (sum of squares of n standard normals)

If we replace the population mean  $\mu$  with the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$ , the resulting sum of squares, has a  $\chi^2_{n-1}$  distribution, which is independent of the distribution of  $\bar{X}_n$ . This is stated formally below:

**Theorem:** If  $X_1, \ldots X_n$  form a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  and the sample variance  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$  are independent random variables and

$$\bar{X}_n \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\bar{X}_n)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$$

Note: This is only for normal samples.

#### Application: mean and variance estimates

We have a normal random sample and would like the M.L.E.s of the mean and standard deviation to be within one-fifth of a standard deviation of the respective parameters,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  with some threshold probability.

Suppose we want to choose a sample size n such that  $\Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \leq \frac{1}{5}\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ 

If we use Chebyshev's inequality, we get this probability is greater than  $\frac{25}{n}$ , so setting this equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , we have n = 50

Using the exact distribution of  $\bar{X}_n$ ,  $\Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \le \frac{1}{5}\sigma) = \Pr(\sqrt{n}\frac{|\bar{X}_n - \mu|}{\sigma} \le \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{n})$ Since we now have a standard normal r.v., we know  $\Pr(Z > .68) = .25$ , so we need the smallest n greater than  $(.68 * 5)^2 = 11.6$ , so n = 12 (Stata 14: invnormal(.75)=.6745)

Now if we want to determine n so that  $Pr[(|\bar{X}_n-\mu|\leq \frac{1}{5}\sigma \text{ and } (|\hat{\sigma}_n-\sigma|\leq \frac{1}{5}\sigma]\geq \frac{1}{2}$ 

By the previous theorem, 
$$X_n$$
 and  $\hat{\sigma}_n$  are independent, so the LHS is the product  $p_1p_2 = \Pr(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \leq \frac{1}{5}\sigma)\Pr(|\hat{\sigma}_n - \sigma| \leq \frac{1}{5}\sigma)$   
 $p_1 = \Pr(|Z| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}) = 1 - 2 * (1 - \Phi(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{5}))$ .  
 $p_2 = \Pr(.8\sigma < \hat{\sigma}_n < 1.2\sigma) = \Pr(.64n < \frac{n\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sigma^2} < 1.44n)$   
Since  $V = n\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ , we can search over values of n to find one that gives us a product of probabilities equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For  $n = 21$ ,  $p_1 = .64$   $p_2 = .79$  so  $p_1p_2 = .5$ .  
display chi2(20, 30.24)-chi2(20, 13.44)  
(since 21\*.64=13.44 and 21\*1.44=30.24)

## The t-distribution

Let  $Z \sim N(0,1)$ , let  $Y \sim \chi^2_{\nu}$ , and let Z and Y be independent random variables. Then

$$X = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{\nu}}} \sim t_{\nu}$$

The p.d.f of the t-distribution is given by:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\mathbf{v}+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{2})\sqrt{\pi\mathbf{v}}} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{\mathbf{v}}\right)^{-(\frac{\mathbf{v}+1}{2})}$$

#### Features of the t-distribution:

One can see from the above density function that the t-density is symmetric with a maximum value at x = 0.

The shape of the density is similar to that of the standard normal (bell-shaped) but with fatter tails.

#### Relation to random normal samples

**RESULT 1:** Define  $S_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$  The random variable

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_n - \mu)}{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{S}_n^2}{n-1}}} \sim \mathbf{t}_{n-1}$$

**Proof:** We know that  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$  and that  $\frac{S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ . Dividing the first random variable by the square root of the second, divided by its degrees of freedom, the  $\sigma$  in the numerator and denominator cancels to obtain U.

Implication: We cannot make statements about  $|\bar{X}_n - \mu|$  using the normal distribution if  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. This result allows us to use its estimate  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 / n$  since  $\frac{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n-1}} \sim t_{n-1}$ 

**RESULT 2** As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $U \longrightarrow Z \sim N(0,1)$ 

To see why: U can be written as  $\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}} \sim t_{n-1}$ . As n gets large  $\hat{\sigma}$  gets very close to  $\sigma$  and  $\frac{n-1}{n}$  is close to 1.

 $F^{-1}(.55) = .129$  for  $t_{10}$ , .127 for  $t_{20}$  and .126 for the standard normal distribution. The differences between these values increases for higher values of their distribution functions (why?)

### Confidence intervals for the mean

Given  $\sigma^2$ , let us see how we can obtain an interval estimate for  $\mu$ , i.e. an interval which is likely to contain  $\mu$  with a pre-specified probability.

Since 
$$\frac{(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1), \ \Pr\left(-2 < \frac{(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < 2\right) = .955$$

But this event is equivalent to the events  $-\frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \overline{X}_n - \mu < \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $\overline{X}_n - \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \overline{X}_n + \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

With known  $\sigma$ , each of the random variables  $\overline{X}_n - \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $\overline{X}_n + \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  are statistics. Therefore, we have derived a random interval within which the population parameter lies with probability .955, i.e.

$$\Pr\left(\overline{X}_{n} - \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \overline{X}_{n} + \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = .955 = \gamma$$

Notice that there are many intervals for the same  $\gamma$ , this is the shortest one.

Now, given our sample, our statistics take particular values and the resulting interval either contains or does not contain  $\mu$ . We can therefore no longer talk about the probability that it contains  $\mu$  because the experiment has already been performed.

We say that  $(\overline{x}_n - \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \overline{x}_n + \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$  is a 95.5% confidence interval for  $\mu$ . Alternatively, we may say that  $\mu$  lies in the above interval with confidence  $\gamma$  or that the above interval is a confidence interval for  $\mu$  with confidence coefficient  $\gamma$ 

#### **Confidence Intervals for means..examples**

Example 1:  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  forms a random sample from a normal distribution with unknown  $\mu$ and  $\sigma^2 = 10$ .  $\overline{x}_n$  is found to be 7.164 with n = 40. An 80% confidence interval for the mean  $\mu$ is given by  $(7.164 - 1.282\sqrt{\frac{10}{40}}), 7.164 + 1.282\sqrt{\frac{10}{40}})$  or (6.523, 7.805). The confidence coefficient. is .8 (stata 14: display invnormal(.9)

Example 2: Let  $\overline{X}$  denote the sample mean of a random sample of size 25 from a distribution with variance 100 and mean  $\mu$ . In this case,  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 2$  and, making use of the central limit theorem the following statement is approximately true:

$$\Pr\left(-1.96 < \frac{(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{2} < 1.96\right) = .95 \text{ or } \Pr\left(\overline{X}_n - 3.92 < \mu < \overline{X}_n + 3.92\right) = .95$$

If the sample mean is given by  $\overline{x}_n = 67.53$ , an approximate 95% confidence interval for the sample mean is given by (63.61, 71.45).

Example 3: Suppose we are interested in a confidence interval for the mean of a normal distribution but do not know  $\sigma^2$ . We know that  $\frac{(\overline{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n-1}} \sim t_{n-1}$  and can use the t-distribution with (n-1) degrees of freedom to construct our interval estimate. With n = 10,  $\overline{x}_n = 3.22$ ,  $\hat{\sigma} = 1.17$ , a 95% confidence interval is given by  $(3.22 - (2.262)(1.17)/\sqrt{9}, 3.22 + (2.262)(1.17)/\sqrt{9}) = (2.34, 4.10)$ 

(display invt(9,.975) gives you 2.262)

## **Confidence Intervals for differences in means**

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$  denote independent normal random samples.

 $X_i \sim N\left(\mu_1, \sigma^2\right) \text{ and } Y_i \sim N\left(\mu_2, \sigma^2\right) \text{ respectively. Sample means and variances are } \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_2^2.$ 

We know (using previous results) that:

$$\begin{split} \bar{X} \text{ and } \bar{Y} \text{ are normally and independently distributed with means } \mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_2 \text{ and } \\ \text{variances } \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{ and } \frac{\sigma^2}{m} \\ (\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m) &\sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m}) \text{ so } \frac{(\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m}}} &\sim N(0, 1) \\ \frac{n\hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\sigma^2} &\sim \chi_{n-1}^2 \text{ and } \frac{m\hat{\sigma}_2^2}{\sigma^2} &\sim \chi_{m-1}^2, \text{ so their sum } (n\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + m\hat{\sigma}_2^2) / \sigma^2 &\sim \chi_{n+m-2}^2. \text{ Therefore } \\ U &= \frac{(\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{n\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + m\hat{\sigma}_2^2}{(n+m-2)}}} &\sim t_{n+m-2} \end{split}$$

Denote the denominator of U by R.

Suppose we want a 95% confidence interval for the difference in the means:

Using the above t-distribution, we find a number b for which Pr(-b < X < b) = .95

The random interval  $(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - bR, (\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) + bR$  will now contain the true difference in means with 95% probability.

A confidence interval is now based on sample values,  $(\bar{x}_n - \bar{y}_m)$  and corresponding sample variances.

Based on the CLT, we can use the same procedure even when our samples are not normal.