

Microeconomic Theory: Lecture 3

Production, Costs and the Firm

Parikshit Ghosh

Delhi School of Economics

Summer Semester, 2014

The Firm

- ▶ Often a very large organization with thousands of workers.
- ▶ Starting assumption: objective is to maximize profits.
- ▶ Obvious exceptions: public sector organizations, non-profits, vanity projects (sports teams).
- ▶ Inside the firm: a command economy. Outside the firm: a market economy. What determines the boundary (Coase)?
- ▶ The joint stock company: separation of ownership and management/labour. Gives rise to agency problems: do managers have the incentive to maximize profits?
- ▶ Dynamic and strategic issues: there may be trade-offs between profit maximization in the short run and the long run.

The Production Function

- ▶ The firm produces one output (y) using n inputs $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.
- ▶ The input-output relationship is captured in the production function: $y = f(\mathbf{x})$, where $f(\cdot)$ is continuous, strictly increasing and (strictly) quasiconcave, with $f(\mathbf{0}) = 0$.
- ▶ An isoquant is a set of input vectors that produce the same output:

$$Q(y) = \{\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} | f(\mathbf{x}) = y\}$$

- ▶ Monotonicity and quasiconcavity of $f(\cdot)$ implies isoquants are convex to the origin and higher isoquants represent higher output.

The Production Function: Characteristics

- ▶ Returns to scale:
 - ▶ Constant Returns to Scale (CRS) if $f(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda f(\mathbf{x})$.
 - ▶ Decreasing Returns to Scale (DRS) if $f(\lambda\mathbf{x}) < \lambda f(\mathbf{x})$.
 - ▶ Increasing Returns to Scale (IRS) if $f(\lambda\mathbf{x}) > \lambda f(\mathbf{x})$.
- ▶ The production function is homogeneous of degree k if

$$f(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda^k f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

- ▶ There is CRS, DRS, IRS if $k =, <, > 1$.

Profit Maximization

- ▶ A **perfectly competitive market** is a market where there are a large number of buyers and sellers. Each agent takes the prices as given, and assumes he will be able to buy/sell any quantity he wants at these prices.
- ▶ The firm faces some input price p and a vector of output prices, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$.
- ▶ The profit maximization problem:

$$\max_{y, \mathbf{x}} py - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to } y \leq f(\mathbf{x})$$

- ▶ Becomes an unconstrained problem after incorporating the (binding) constraint into the objective function:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} pf(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}$$

Two-Step Solutions: the Cost Function

- ▶ Break up the problem into two parts.
 - ▶ Find the least costly way of producing any output level y :

$$c(\mathbf{w}, y) = \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to } f(\mathbf{x}) = y$$

- ▶ Using this information, find the most profitable output level:

$$\max_y py - c(\mathbf{w}, y)$$

- ▶ The cost min problem is the dual of the consumer's problem.
- ▶ The **cost function** is the expenditure function.
- ▶ The **conditional input demand functions**, $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{w}, y)$, are Hicksian demand functions.

Cost Functions of Homogeneous Production Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f(\mathbf{x})$ is homogeneous of degree k . Then the cost and conditional input demand functions are multiplicatively separable in y and \mathbf{w} , and are given by

$$\begin{aligned}c(\mathbf{w}, y) &= c(\mathbf{w}, 1) \cdot y^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{w}, y) &= \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{w}, 1) \cdot y^{\frac{1}{k}}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The cost function is linear/convex/concave if returns to scale is constant/decreasing/increasing.
- ▶ Marginal cost is constant/increasing/decreasing if the cost function is linear/convex/concave.

Proof of the Theorem

- ▶ The cost function can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(\mathbf{w}, y) &= \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} \quad \text{subject to } f(\mathbf{x}) = y \\
 &= y^{\frac{1}{k}} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w} \left(y^{-\frac{1}{k}} \mathbf{x} \right) \quad \text{subject to } y^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \\
 &= y^{\frac{1}{k}} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w} \left(y^{-\frac{1}{k}} \mathbf{x} \right) \quad \text{subject to } f \left(y^{-\frac{1}{k}} \mathbf{x} \right) = 1 \\
 &= y^{\frac{1}{k}} \min_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{w}\mathbf{z} \quad \text{subject to } f(\mathbf{z}) = 1 \\
 &= c(\mathbf{w}, 1) \cdot y^{\frac{1}{k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Similar proof for conditional input demand functions.

Returns to Scale and Competition

- ▶ First-order condition:

$$p = \frac{\partial c(\mathbf{w}, y^*)}{\partial y}$$

- ▶ Second-order condition:

$$\frac{\partial^2 c(\mathbf{w}, y^*)}{\partial y^2} \geq 0$$

- ▶ For IRS technology, the second-order condition cannot be satisfied anywhere! y^* is either 0 or ∞ . Not compatible with perfect competition. IRS typically leads to natural monopolies.
- ▶ For CRS technology, the optimum is 0, $[0, \infty]$, ∞ when $p <, =, > c(\mathbf{w}, 1)$. Optimum output can be indeterminate.

Returns to Scale and Competition

- ▶ The **profit function** of the firm is the value function of the profit-max problem:

$$\pi(p, \mathbf{w}) = \max_{\mathbf{x}} pf(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}$$

- ▶ First-order condition:

$$p \underbrace{\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}^*)}{\partial x_i}} = \underbrace{w_i}$$

Marginal revenue product = price of input

- ▶ Second order condition: the Hessian matrix of $f(\cdot)$ must be negative semi-definite (i.e. locally concave) at \mathbf{x}^* .
- ▶ The choice functions $\mathbf{x}(p, \mathbf{w})$ are the (unconditional) **input demand functions**. $y(p, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{supply function}$.

Properties of the Profit Function

- ▶ Increasing in p (higher profit for every input choice).
- ▶ Decreasing in w_i (lower profit for every input choice).
- ▶ Homogeneous of degree 1 in (p, \mathbf{w}) (when input and output prices are scaled up, relative profits remain unchanged).
- ▶ Convex in (p, \mathbf{w}) .
- ▶ Hotelling's Lemma (using envelope theorem):

$$\frac{\partial \pi(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial p} = y(p, \mathbf{w})$$
$$-\frac{\partial \pi(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial w_i} = x_i(p, \mathbf{w})$$

Convexity of the Profit Function: Proof

- ▶ Suppose optimal input-output choices are
 - ▶ (y^1, \mathbf{x}^1) at prices (p^1, \mathbf{w}^1) .
 - ▶ (y^2, \mathbf{x}^2) at prices (p^2, \mathbf{w}^2) .
 - ▶ $(\bar{y}, \bar{\mathbf{x}})$ at prices $(\bar{p}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$, where
 $(\bar{p}, \bar{\mathbf{w}}) = \lambda(p^1, \mathbf{w}^1) + (1 - \lambda)(p^2, \mathbf{w}^2)$.
- ▶ By definition of profit maximization:

$$p^1 x^1 - \mathbf{w}^1 \mathbf{x}^1 \geq p^1 \bar{x} - \mathbf{w}^1 \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$p^2 x^2 - \mathbf{w}^2 \mathbf{x}^2 \geq p^2 \bar{x} - \mathbf{w}^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}$$

- ▶ Taking weighted averages:

$$\lambda \pi(p^1, \mathbf{w}^1) + (1 - \lambda) \pi(p^2, \mathbf{w}^2) \geq \pi(\bar{p}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$$

Implications of Convex Profit Function

- ▶ The Hessian matrix of $\pi(p, \mathbf{w})$ is symmetric, positive semi-definite:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial p^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial p \partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial p \partial w_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_1 \partial p} & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_1 \partial w_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_n \partial p} & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_n \partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial w_n^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_n} \\ -\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial p} & -\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial w_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial p} & -\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial w_1} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial x_n}{\partial w_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ All principal minors are non-negative \Rightarrow diagonal elements are non-negative.
- ▶ The supply function $y(p, \mathbf{w})$ is increasing in output price:
 $\frac{\partial y(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial p} \geq 0$.
- ▶ The input demand functions, $\mathbf{x}(p, \mathbf{w})$, are decreasing in own price, $\frac{\partial x_i(p, \mathbf{w})}{\partial w_i} \leq 0$.