

# Damages and Incentives

Ram Singh

Lecture 23

October 14, 2015

# Reliance Damages I

Under Reliance Damages:

- The reference point for damages is the reliance decision
- the damages restore the victim of the breach to his position if she did not rely on the promise by the promisor, i.e.,
- $D^{RD}(r, P) = r$ .

Under Reliance Damages: The B's profit is

$$\begin{cases} V(r)-P-r & \text{if S delivers the good;} \\ r-r=0 & \text{if S does NOT deliver but pays damages.} \end{cases}$$

S will deliver as long as

$$P - C \geq -r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$P + r \geq C, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$C \leq P + r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

## Reliance Damages II

E.g., if contract price  $P = 170$ . S will deliver as long as

$$C \leq 170 + r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

S will NOT deliver if

$$C > 170 + r$$

### Remark

Whether S will deliver or not depends on Contract price as well as level of  $r$ .

To sum up, the breach set  $BS^{RD}(r)$  is

$$BS^{RD}(r) = \{C | C > P + r\},$$

i.e., for the given level of reliance the breach set is *not* Pareto efficient; assuming that  $V(r) > P + r$ .

## Reliance Damages III

Moreover, the Buyer chooses  $r$  that maximizes

$$F(P + r)[V(r) - P] + (1 - F(P + r))r - r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$F(P + r)[V(r) - P - r], \text{ i.e.,}$$

$r$  opted by the Buyer,  $r^{RD}$ , solves

$$V'(r) - 1 = -\frac{f(P + r)[V(r) - P - r]}{F(P + r)}. \quad (1)$$

That is,  $r^{RD}$  is opted by the Buyer is such that  $r^{RD} > r^{ED} > r^*$ . Why?

## Reliance Damages IV

Note that  $BS^{RD}(r^{RD}) \supset BS^*(r^{RD})$ .

### Question

*What is the relationship between  $BS^{RD}$  and  $BS^{ED}$ ?*

### Question

*What will be the contract price under Reliance Damages?*

# Restitution Damages I

Under Restitution Damages:

- The reference point is the state of 'No Contract'.
- Damages restore to the victim of the breach whatever he had paid to the promiser
- If payment is made on delivery,

$$D^{RS}(r, P) = D^{RS}(r, P) = 0.$$

The  $r^{RS}$  opted by the Buyer, solves

$$\max_r \{F(P)V(r) - r\}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$F(P)V'(r) - 1 = 0. \tag{2}$$

$$r^{RS} < r^* < r^{ED}.$$

## Restitution Damages II

### Question

*What is the relationship between  $BS^{RS}$  and  $BS^{ED}$ ?*

Also note that since  $r^{ED}$  solves

$$\max_r \{V(r) - r\}$$

So

$$P < V(r^{RS}) - r^{RS} < V(r^{ED}) - r^{ED} < V(r^{ED}).$$

Therefore,

$$BS^{RS}(r^{RS}) \supset BS^{ED}(r^{ED})$$

### Question

*What will be the contract price under Restitution Damages?*

## Specific Performance

Under this measure, the breach set

$$BS^{SP} = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, the probability of performance is 1, and the Buyer chooses  $r$  that maximizes

$$V(r) - P - r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

the  $r^{SP}$  opted by the Buyer, solves

$$V'(r) - 1 = 0. \tag{3}$$

That is,  $r^{SP}$  is opted by the Buyer is such that  $r^{SP} = r^{ED} > r^*$ .

### Question

*What will be the contract price under Specific Performance?*



# Damages Compared I

## Proposition

*Expectation damages are K-H superior to Specific Performance.*

## Proposition

*Expectation damages are K-H superior to Reliance damages.*

*Proof:*

- Take a contract under Reliance damages; Say,  
 $(D(r, P), P) = (r, P)$ .
- Suppose under this contract, the equilibrium outcome is  
 $(r^{RD}, BS^{RD}(r^{RD}))$ .

## Damages Compared II

Clearly

$$BS^{RD}(r^{RD}) = \{C | C > P + r^{RD}\}.$$

First of all notice that for given  $r$ , by definition

$$Z(r, BS^*(r)) \geq Z(r, BS(r)).$$

In particular,

$$Z(r^{RD}, BS^*(r^{RD})) \geq Z(r^{RD}, BS^{RD}(r^{RD})).$$

When the breach set is efficient, for given  $r$ , the total social surplus

$$Z(r, BS^*(r)) = \int \max\{V(r) - r - C, -r\} dF(C).$$

## Damages Compared III

Since  $r^{ED}$  solves  $\max_r \{V(r) - r\}$ , therefore, regardless of the value taken by  $C$ , for all  $r > r^{ED}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V(r^{ED}) - C - r^{ED} &> V(r) - C - r \\ -r^{ED} &= -r. \end{aligned}$$

So, for any given  $r > r^{ED}$ ,

$$\max\{V(r^{ED}) - r^{ED} - C, -r^{ED}\} > \max\{V(r) - r - C, -r\}.$$

Since  $r^{RD} > r^{ED}$ , we have

$$\max\{V(r^{ED}) - r^{ED} - C, -r^{ED}\} > \max\{V(r^{RD}) - r^{RD} - C, -r^{RD}\}.$$

This means,

$$Z(r^{ED}, BS^*(r^{ED})) > Z(r^{RD}, BS^*(r^{RD})).$$

## Damages Compared IV

But,

$$\begin{aligned}Z(r^{ED}, BS^{ED}(r^{ED})) &= Z(r^{ED}, BS^*(r^{ED})) \\Z(r^{RD}, BS^*(r^{RD})) &\geq Z(r^{RD}, BS^{RD}(r^{RD})).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Z(r^{ED}, BS^{ED}(r^{ED})) > Z(r^{RD}, BS^{RD}(r^{RD}))$$

*Q.E.D.*

# Complete Contingent Contract I

Complete Contingent Contract (CCC) explicitly determines

- the  $BS$  to be followed by S, i.e., perform iff  $C \in \widetilde{BS}$
- $r$  that is to be opted by the Buyer.

Consider a CCC,  $(BS, r)$ . Let

- $E^B(BS, r)$  be the expected benefit to the Buyer, exclusive of the price.
- $E^S(BS, r)$  be the expected benefit to the Seller, exclusive of the price.
- $P$  the (expected) price fixed under the CCC  $(BS, r)$ .

## Complete Contingent Contract II

Therefore, the expected payoff to the Buyer and the Seller are  $E^B(BS, r) - P$  and  $E^S(BS, r) + P$ , respectively.

Total Social Surplus

$$TSS = B(BS, r) - P + S(BS, r) + P = B(BS, r) + S(BS, r) = Z(BS, r).$$

### Proposition

*A CCC is Pareto efficient iff it maximizes TSS*

*Proof:* Take a CCC, say  $(BS, r, P)$ . Suppose it does not maximize the TSS. This means that

- there are  $BS'$  and  $r'$  such that  $Z(BS, r) < Z(BS', r')$ .
- That is, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $Z(BS', r') - Z(BS, r) = \delta$ .

## Complete Contingent Contract III

Now, consider the contract  $(BS', r', P')$ , where

$$P' = P + E^B(BS', r') - E^B(BS, r) - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

This means,

$$\begin{aligned} E^B(BS', r') - P' &= E^B(BS, r) - P + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &> E^B(BS, r) - P, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the Buyer is strictly better off under  $(BS', r', P')$  rather than under  $(BS, r, P)$ .

Also,

## Complete Contingent Contract IV

$$\begin{aligned}E^S(BS', r') + P' &= E^S(BS', r') + P + E^B(BS', r') - E^B(BS, r) - \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= Z(BS', r') + P - E^B(BS, r) - \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= Z(BS', r') - Z(BS, r) + E^S(BS, r) + P - \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= E^S(BS, r) + P + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &> E^S(BS, r) + P\end{aligned}$$

i.e., the Seller is strictly better off under  $(BS', r', P')$  rather than under  $(BS, r, P)$ . Q.E.D.

Why a Contract (may) can not be a CCC?



# First Best Contract I

Consider the contract  $(P, D(r, P))$ ; where

- $D(r, P) = V(r^*) - P$ , and
- $\int_0^{V(r^*)} C dF(C) \leq P \leq V(r^*)$ .

S will breach iff  $P - C < -(V(r^*) - P)$ , i.e., iff

$$C > V(r^*),$$

therefore the breach set is

$$BS(r) = \{C | C > V(r^*)\}, \text{ i.e., } BS(r) = BS^*(r^*),$$

and

the performance set

$$\widetilde{BS}(r) = \{C | V(r^*) \leq C\}.$$

## First Best Contract II

Given the breach set, the Buyer opts for  $r$  that maximizes

$$F(V(r^*))[V(r) - P] + (1 - F(V(r^*)))[V(r^*) - P] - r, \text{ i.e.,}$$

the  $r$  opted by the Seller, solves

$$F(V(r))V'(r) - 1 = 0. \quad (4)$$

That is,  $r^*$  is opted by the Seller.

So, the contract  $(P, V(r^*) - P)$  achieves the first best and is Pareto efficient.

# Liquidated Damages

## Proposition

*If contracting is costless and parties have complete freedom to decide on the terms of the contract, they will choose the following contract*

$$D(r, P) = V(r^*) - P,$$

where

$$\int_0^{V(r^*)} C dF(C) \leq P \leq V(r^*).$$