

## A Cost-Effective Tax on Emissions (Cost Minimisation)

References:

1. Hanley, Shogren and White (1997) Chapter 4 – follows Fisher (1981) Chapter 6
2. Baumol and Oates (1988) Chapter 11
3. Baumol and Oates *SJE* (1971)

$E_t$ : Uniformly mixed pollutant defined at any point in time as a flow

$e_{kt}$ : Individual discharges from source  $k$  at time  $t$

$$E = \sum_k e_k \text{ (suppressing } t) \tag{1}$$

$y_k$ : Output of  $k^{\text{th}}$  firm

$r_{ik}$ : input  $i$  used by firm  $k$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ )

Production function for  $k^{\text{th}}$  firm:  $y_k = f^k(r_{1k} \dots \dots r_{nk})$

$v_k$ : End of pipe technology to reduce polluting emissions

Emissions function for  $k^{\text{th}}$  firm:  $e_k = b^k(y_k, v_k) \quad \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial y_k} > 0 \quad \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial v_k} < 0$

$p_v$ : Cost of unit of abatement technology

$p_i$ : Price of inputs

Social planner's problem:

$$\text{Min } \sum_i \sum_k p_i r_{ik} + \sum_k p_v v_k \text{ (costs are separable in the two 'outputs')} \tag{2}$$

$$\text{s.t. } f^k(r_{1k} \dots \dots r_{nk}) = y_k^* \tag{3}$$

$$\text{and } b^k(y_k^*, v_k) = e_k \tag{4}$$

$$\text{and } \sum_k e_k \leq \bar{E} \tag{5}$$

$$\text{and } e_k, y_k \geq 0 \quad \forall k = 1 \dots \dots \dots K \tag{6}$$

$$L = \sum_i \sum_k p_i r_{ik} + \sum_k p_v v_k + \sum_k \lambda_k [y_k^* - f^k(\cdot)] + \mu [\sum_k b^k(\cdot) - E^*] \tag{7}$$

First order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ik}} = p_i - \lambda_k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial r_{ik}} = 0 \quad \forall i, k \quad (8a)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_k} = p_v + \mu \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial v_k} = 0 \quad \forall k \quad (8b)$$

Now consider  $t^*$ : per unit tax on emissions to achieve  $\bar{E}$  level of emissions

Firm  $k$  facing an emissions tax  $t^*$  will minimize the cost:

$$\text{Min} \quad \sum_i p_i r_{ik} + p_v v_k + t_k^* e_k \quad (9)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad f^k(r_{1k} \dots r_{nk}) = y_k^*$$

$$\text{and} \quad b^k(y_k^*, v_k) = e_k$$

$$\text{and} \quad e_k, y_k \geq 0$$

$$L_k = \sum_i p_i r_{ik} + p_v v_k + t^* e_k + \beta^k [y_k^* - f^k(\cdot)] \quad (10)$$

Differentiating  $L_k$  with respect to input and abatement use first order conditions for a minimum are:

$$p_i - \beta^k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial r_i} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (11a)$$

$$p_v + t^* \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial v_k} = 0 \quad (11b)$$

Comparing equation (8) with equation (11), it can be seen that firm's optimum will coincide with social optimum if:

- (i)  $p_i$  and  $p_v$  correspond to their competitive levels, i.e., firm has no price setting power in the input or pollution abatement markets (we also require  $\beta^k = \lambda^k$  for all  $k$ );
- (ii) The tax rate  $t^*$  is equal to  $\mu$ , the shadow price of pollution reduction in the social planner's problem. In other words the least cost tax is equal to the marginal cost of abatement at  $\bar{E}$ . Rearranging equation (11),  $t^* = -p_v / b_v^k$  (where  $b_v^k \equiv \partial b^k / \partial v_k$ ) since  $(-p_v / b_v^k)$  is the marginal abatement cost for firm  $k$ . This also implies for a given  $t^*$ , that MACs across all firms must be equal under the cost-minimizing solution.

## Non-Uniformly Mixed Pollutants

$q_j$ : weighted function of emissions from all sources at any monitoring point  $j$

$d_{kj}$ : transfer coefficients forming  $(J \times K)$  matrix

where  $k = 1 \dots \dots K$  sources and  $j = 1 \dots \dots J$  monitoring points

$$q_j = \sum_k d_{kj} e_k \quad (12)$$

The control agency target might be specified as:

$$\sum_k d_{kj} e_k \leq q_j^* \quad \forall j \quad (13)$$

The social planner's problem is to minimize equation (2) subject to equation (3), (4), (6), (13)

$$L = \sum_i \sum_k p_i r_{ik} + \sum_k p_v v_k + \sum_k \lambda_k [y_k^* - f^k(\cdot)] + \sum_j \mu_j \sum_k d_{kj} [b^k(\cdot) - q_j^*] \quad (14)$$

First order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ik}} = p_i - \lambda_k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial r_{ik}} = 0 \quad \forall i, k \quad (15a)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_k} = p_v + \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial v_k} \sum_j \mu_j d_{kj} = 0 \quad \forall k \quad (15b)$$

Firm  $k$  faced with pollution tax  $t_k^*$

$$L_k = \sum_i p_i r_{ik} + p_v v_k + t_k^* e_k + \beta^k [y_k^* - f^k(\cdot)] \quad (16)$$

Differentiating  $L_k$  with respect to input and abatement use first order conditions for a minimum are:

$$p_i - \beta^k \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial r_i} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (17a)$$

$$p_v + t_k^* \frac{\partial b^k}{\partial v_k} = 0 \quad (17b)$$

Comparing equations (15b) and (17b), it can be shown that in order to achieve an efficient solution, each firm faces a different tax rate  $t_k^*$  which is determined by that firm's degradation of environmental quality at each monitoring point (given by the transfer coefficients) and the ambient target itself.

Shadow prices of improving ambient quality  $\mu_j$ , are positive so long as emission reductions are necessary to meet the ambient target, and where the ambient standard is met exactly after

the imposition of tax. In other words, shadow prices are ‘dual’ values and exist only for constraints which are binding in the optimal solution.

Also, a unique shadow price or tax rate  $\mu_j$  exists at each monitoring point  $j$ , and each firm  $k$  pays a tax equal to  $[d_{kj}\mu_j]$  for emissions affecting point  $j$  (if its transfer coefficient is zero for a given  $j$  it does not pay tax there).

The total tax paid by a firm  $t_k^*$  would be  $[\sum_j d_{kj}\mu_j]$  per unit of emissions.

**[Should you have a uniform tax rate at each  $j$ ? Equal to the most degraded monitoring point? Would this be a good idea?]**