

General Equilibrium Analysis

Ram Singh

Lecture 4

September 26, 2016

Individual UMP: Some Features I

Notations:

- $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_M)$ is a M -component vector in \mathbb{R}^M .
- If $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_M) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^M$, then $p_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, M$, i.e.,

$$(p_1, \dots, p_M) > (0, \dots, 0).$$

- If $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_M) \in \mathbb{R}_+^M$, then $p_j \geq 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $p_j > 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, i.e.,

$$(p_1, \dots, p_M) \geq (0, \dots, 0) \text{ and } (p_1, \dots, p_M) \neq (0, \dots, 0).$$

- Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$ and $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_M)$. If $\mathbf{x}' \geq \mathbf{x}$, then $x_j \geq x_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $x_j > x_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$.
- Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_M)$ and $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_M)$. If $\mathbf{x}' > \mathbf{x}$, then $x_j > x_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$.

Individual UMP: Some Features II

Take a price vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_M) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^M$. That is, $(p_1, \dots, p_M) > (0, \dots, 0)$. The consumer i 's OP (UMP) is to solve:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^J} u^i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i$$

Definition

u^i is strongly increasing if for any two bundles \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}'

$$\mathbf{x}' \geq \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow u^i(\mathbf{x}') > u^i(\mathbf{x}).$$

Assumption

For all $i \in I$, u^i is continuous, strongly increasing, and strictly quasi-concave on \mathbb{R}_+^M

Individual UMP: Some Features III

In view of monotonicity, for given $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_M) \gg (0, \dots, 0)$, consumer i solves:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^M} u^i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i \quad (1)$$

Theorem

Under the above assumptions on $u^i(\cdot)$, for every $(p_1, \dots, p_M) > (0, \dots, 0)$, (1) has a unique solution, say $\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i)$.

Note:

- Existence follows from Monotonicity and finiteness of the Budget set
- Uniqueness follows from 'strictly quasi-concave'

Individual UMP: Some Features IV

Note:

- $\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i)$ is the (Marshallian) Demand Function for individual i .
- For each $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) : \mathbb{R}_{++}^M \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+^M;$$

$$\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) = (x_1^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i), \dots, x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i), \dots, x_M^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i)).$$

- In general, demand for j th good depends on price of k th good, $k = 1, \dots, M$

Individual UMP: Some Features V

Theorem

Under the above assumptions on $u^i(\cdot)$, for every $(p_1, \dots, p_M) > (0, \dots, 0)$,

- $\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i)$ is continuous in \mathbf{p} over \mathbb{R}_{++}^M .
- For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have: $\mathbf{x}^i(t\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p})$, for all $t > 0$. That is, demand of each good j by individual i satisfies the following property:

$$x_j^i(t\mathbf{p}) = x_j^i(\mathbf{p}) \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Question

Given that $u^i(\cdot)$ is strongly increasing,

- is $\mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p})$ continuous over \mathbb{R}_+^M ?
- is the demand function $x_j^i(\mathbf{p})$ defined at $p_j = 0$?

Is a Cobb-Douglas utility function strongly increasing over \mathbb{R}_+^M ?

Excess Demand Function I

Definition

The excess demand for j th good by the i th individual is give by:

$$\mathbf{z}_j^i(\mathbf{p}) = x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - e_j^i.$$

The aggregate excess demand for j th good is give by:

$$\mathbf{z}_j(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - \sum_{i=1}^N e_j^i.$$

So, Aggregate Excess Demand Function is a vector-valued function:

$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}) = (\mathbf{z}_1(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \mathbf{z}_j(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \mathbf{z}_M(\mathbf{p})),$$

Excess Demand Function II

Theorem

Under the above assumptions on $u^i(\cdot)$, for any $\mathbf{p} \gg \mathbf{0}$,

- $\mathbf{z}(\cdot)$ is continuous in \mathbf{p}
- $\mathbf{z}(t\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p})$, for all $t > 0$
- $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$. (the Walras' Law)

For any given price vector \mathbf{p} , the individual UMP gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i &= 0, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \sum_{j=1}^M p_j x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - \sum_{j=1}^M p_j e_j^i &= 0, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \sum_{j=1}^M p_j [x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - e_j^i] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Excess Demand Function III

This gives:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M p_j [x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - e_j^i] = 0, i.e.,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^N p_j [x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - e_j^i] = 0, i.e.,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^M p_j \left[\sum_{i=1}^N x_j^i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - \sum_{i=1}^N e_j^i \right] = 0$$

That is,

$$\sum_{j=1}^M p_j z_j(\mathbf{p}) = 0, i.e.,$$

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}) = 0$$

Excess Demand Function IV

So,

$$p_1 z_1(\mathbf{p}) + p_2 z_2(\mathbf{p}) + \dots + p_{j-1} z_{j-1}(\mathbf{p}) + p_{j+1} z_{j+1}(\mathbf{p}) + \dots + p_M z_M(\mathbf{p}) = -p_j z_j(\mathbf{p})$$

For a price vector $\mathbf{p} \gg \mathbf{0}$,

- if $z_k(\mathbf{p}) = 0$ for all $k \neq j$, then $z_j(\mathbf{p}) = 0$
- For two goods case
 - $p_1 z_1(\mathbf{p}) + p_2 z_2(\mathbf{p}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$p_1 z_1(\mathbf{p}) = -p_2 z_2(\mathbf{p}).$$

- Therefore,

$$z_1(\mathbf{p}) = 0 \Rightarrow z_2(\mathbf{p}) = 0$$

$$z_1(\mathbf{p}) > 0 \Rightarrow z_2(\mathbf{p}) < 0.$$

Walrasian Equilibrium I

Definition

Walrasian Equilibrium Price: A price vector \mathbf{p}^* is equilibrium price vector, if for all $j = 1, \dots, J$,

$$\mathbf{z}_j(\mathbf{p}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_j^i(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{p}^* \cdot \mathbf{e}^i) - \sum_{i=1}^N e_j^i = 0, \text{ i.e., if}$$
$$\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}^*) = \mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0).$$

Two goods: food and cloth

Let (p_f, p_c) be the price vector. Since, we know that for all $t > 0$:

$$\mathbf{z}(t\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p})$$

Therefore, we can work with $\mathbf{p} = \left(\frac{p_f}{p_c}, 1\right) = (p, 1)$.

Walrasian Equilibrium II

From Walras's law, we have $p_f z_f(\mathbf{p}) + p_c z_c(\mathbf{p}) = 0$, i.e.,

$$p z_f(\mathbf{p}) + z_c(\mathbf{p}) = 0.$$

Let:

- $z_i(\mathbf{p})$ is continuous for all $\mathbf{p} \gg \mathbf{0}$, i.e., for all $p > 0$.

Note

- When utility function is monotonic, $x_f(\mathbf{p})$ will explode as $p_f = p \rightarrow 0$.
- Therefore, there exists small $p = \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $z_f(p, 1) \gg 0$.
- Also, there exists another $p' > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ s.t. $z_f(p', 1) \ll 0$ (Why?).