Land and Property Taxes

Ram Singh

Lecture 2

Ram Singh (DSE)

Land and Property Taxes

э

Stamp Duty: Tax Evasion and Remedy I

Suppose

- a buyer has already engaged in a property transaction at price *p**.
- A stamp duty tax needs to be paid on the "reported price" *p*.
- t^S denote the stamp duty tax/rate
- the circle rate c.
- π(.) is the probability of being investigated by the tax department and getting caught if and only if he under-reports
- F is the fine

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Stamp Duty: Tax Evasion and Remedy II

The buyer solves the following maximisation problem:

$$\max_{p} \{t^{s}p^{*}-t^{s}\max(p,c)-\pi(p)F\}$$

Assume:

$$\pi'(p) < 0, \quad \pi''(p) > 0, \quad \pi(0) = 1, \quad \pi(p^*) = 0, \quad F > 0$$
 (0.1)

$$-t^{s}-\pi'(c)F>0 \tag{0.2}$$

The buyer compares the benefits of tax evasion against the costs. That is, $t^{s}(p^{*}-p)$ against the costs $\pi(p)F$ The solution to to this maximisation satisfies the following FOC:

$$-t^s = \pi'(\rho)F \tag{0.3}$$

Property Tax I

Property Tax

- Annual tax on Annual Property Value (APV).
- In Delhi the unit area method is used to calculate the annual property value
 - is calculated by multiplying unit area value assigned to the colony/locality by the covered area of the property
- depends on factors such as age, structure of the property and nature of use.

Let

- L be the land area
- Property value be $V = pK^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$, where K is the amount of capital investment.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Property Tax II

- p = 1. So, value of property is $V = K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$
- t^p be the property tax
- r be the cost of capital
- r be the same for all types of properties

In the absence of property tax, the OP of the landowner :

$$\max_{K} K^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha} - rK$$

The profit maximizing investment solves :

$$K^* = \left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} L$$

In the presence of property tax, the OP of the landowner :

$$\max_{K} \quad (1-t^p)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}-rK$$

The profit maximizing investment solves :

$$\mathcal{K}^{**} = \left(\frac{\alpha(1-t^p)}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}L$$

Clearly, under tax the optimal level of value improving activity K^{**} is less than K^* .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Property Taxes: Tax Revenue

Let

• $T = t^{\rho} V$ denote the tax revenue collected from a property That is,

$$T = t^{p} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha(1-t^{p})}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} L \right)^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$$

Hence,

$$T = t^{p} \left(\frac{\alpha(1-t^{p})}{r}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} L$$

T is increasing function of tax rate, t^p , only when:

$$(1-t^{p})^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}-t^{p}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}(1-t^{p})^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}}>0$$

That is,

$$1 - t^p > \alpha$$

Or,

$$t^p < 1 - \alpha$$

Property Tax based on Location I

Let

• *a* denote the index of locational amenities where the property is located **Now** the value of a house is now:

$$V = p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}$$

where p'(a) > 0In the absence of tax, the OP for the landowner is: The FOC yields:

$$K^* = \left(\frac{\alpha p(a)}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}L$$

Let

t^p be tax rate (per-unit) of property value

Property Tax based on Location II

Now, the OP of the landowner becomes:

$$\max_{K}\{(1-t^{p})p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}-rK\}$$

The FOC yields:

$$K^{**} = \left(\frac{\alpha p(a)(1-t^{p})}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}L$$

- An increase in the tax rate t^p leads to a decrease in the equilibrium level of investment
- An increase in the index of locational amenities a and hence p(a) leads to an increase in the equilibrium level of investment.

Clearly, for given a,

$$K^{**} < K^{*}$$

Ram Singh (DSE)

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

Property Tax based on Location III

Note that for given *a*,

•
$$K^* - K^{**} = \left(\frac{\alpha p(a)}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} L[1 - (1 - t^p)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}]$$

• $\frac{K^{**}}{K^*} = (1 - t^p)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$

The tax collected is given by

$$T^{\mathcal{P}}(t^{p},a,L) = t^{p} p(a) (K^{**})^{\alpha} L^{1-\alpha}$$

・ロ・・ (日・・ モ・・ ・ 日・・

Locally Funded Public Good

Assume

- there is only one property owner
- provision for a is funded by property tax
- g(a) be the cost of a

Consider the following social welfare

$$\max_{t^{\rho},a}\{(1-t^{\rho})p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}-rK+t^{\rho}p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}-g(a)\}$$

s.t. $t^{p}p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} = g(a)$. Question:

Find out optimal mix of a and t^p

• Is
$$K = K^{**} = \left(\frac{\alpha p(a)(1-t^{p})}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} L$$
?

Is K >< K* ?</p>

э

A (10) A (10)

Land Tax I

The maximisation problem of the landowner now looks like :

$$\max_{K} \quad p(a)K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha} - rK - (t^{L})L$$

The FOC for optimization yields :

$$K^{L} = p(a)(\frac{\alpha}{r})^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}L = K^{*}$$

Clearly, the optimal level of value improving activity K^L equals its efficient level K^* .

The tax revenue is given by

$$T^{L}(t^{L}, a, L) = t^{L}L$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Land Tax II

Consider

- two localities- 1 and 2 with different locational advantages, *a*₁ and *a*₂;
- $a_1 > a_2$. So $p(a_1) > p(a_2)$,
- two parcels of same size one in each locality
- t^L as land tax

Surplus accruing to landowners in the two regions are:

$$\pi_1 = p(a_1)(K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}) - rK - t_1^L L$$

$$\pi_2 = p(a_2)(K^{\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}) - rK - t_2^L L$$

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Land Tax III

The optimization exercise of the landowners in the two regions yields:

$$K_1^* = (\frac{\alpha p(a_1)}{r})^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} L$$

$$K_2^* = (\frac{\alpha p(a_2)}{r})^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}L$$

It can be seen that $\pi_1(K_1^*) > \pi_2(K_2^*)$. Consider

$$\pi_1(K_1^*) = p(a_1)(K_1^{*\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}) - cK_1^* - t_1^L L = 0$$

$$\pi_2(K_2^*) = p(a_2)(K_1^{*\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}) - cK_2^* - t_2^L L = 0$$

That is, the tax rates are given by:

$$t_1^L > t_2^L \tag{0.4}$$

イロン イ理 とく ヨン イヨン